

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/656,750	Applicant(s) GOODE ET AL
		Examiner BHISMA MEHTA	Art Unit 3767

All Participants:

(1) BHISMA MEHTA

(2) Reed Duthier

Date of Interview: 3 March 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

13, 14, 15, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was indicated to Mr. Duthier that claim 13 as now amended was a duplicate of claim 23. Amendments to cancel claim 13 and to change the dependency of claims 14, 15, and 17 to now depend from claim 23 were discussed and agreed upon as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhisma Mehta/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)